

the International Journal on Marine Navigation and Safety of Sea Transportation

DOI: 10.12716/1001.11.03.17

Sets of Waveform and Mismatched Filter Pairs for Clutter Suppression in Marine Radar Application

V. Koshevyy & V. Popova National University "Odessa Maritime Academy", Odessa, Ukraine

ABSTRACT: Sets of waveform and mismatched filter pairs are used. On the contrary with Golays matched waveform filter pair the mismatched waveform filter pair does exist for all N (number pulses in waveform). Using corresponding shapes of filter good Doppler tolerance may be provided. This property together with a good range side-lobs level suppression makes it's attractable for use in marine radar.

1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays the necessity of marine radar design with using pulse compression waveforms exists, allowing to reduce significantly the peak power of radiation and thus to improve the working conditions of seafarers and electromagnetic compatibility with other vessel's devices. There are two main tasks of radar: detecting a target and determining its range. Fairly early range has expended to include direction to the target and radial velocity between the radar and the target. Application of pulse compression waveforms gives the possibility remove many of constraints, providing executive new tasks: increasing the range of radar operation under limited transmitter peak power; improving detectability small-size targets on the background sea surface by mean increasing Doppler selectivity. Extraction of signals from interfering reflections is very important for such kind of radar waveform and filter design. The quality of such extraction significantly depends from rangevelocity distribution of interfering reflections [1,2,3]. The problem of the mismatched filter and waveform design that maximizes the signal-to-noise-plus-clutter ratio at the receiver filter output has been formulated and addressed in [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11].

Mismatched filtering may causes degradations in signal-to-white noise ratio. In [10] the method of a filter optimization which maximizes the signal-tonoise ratio under additional quadratic constraints was developed. In [12] the methods of joint optimization signal and filter for interfering reflections suppression under additional constraints on range resolving performance, signal-to-noise ratio loses and given amplitude modulation of signal with different limitations on the memory and the width of the pass band of the filter were developed.

The signals and filters design technique presented in [13] is extension of methods [12] to the case of waveforms and filters sets with groupcomplementary properties, which are optimized simultaneously. In this work we consider discrete signals and the optimizing discrete filters for cases

electronically scanning antenna and mechanical scanning rotating antenna. The method of filter optimization, considering Doppler shift of signal for both cases, is suggested.

We consider discrete signals and the optimizing discrete filters with complex envelopes [10, 11]:

$$S(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S_{pn} u(t - (p-1)T - nT_0)$$
(1)

$$W(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (W_{pm} u(t - (p-1)T - mq_f T_0)$$
(2)

where

$$u(t - mZ) = \begin{cases} 1, (m-1)Z \le t \le mcZ \\ 0, for other values of t \end{cases};$$

 T_0 – is elementary pulse u(t) duration; T – repetition period of signals; $q_f = \Delta F_s / \Delta F_W$ – is a parameter which characterizes the pass-band of the filter ΔF_W in respect to the spectral width of the signal ΔF_s ;

 S_{pn} ; W_{pm} – are complex amplitudes and weighting coefficients of waveform S_p and mismatched filter W_p pairs.

P - number of waveform and mismatched filter pairs in set.

Considering optimization reduces to a choice of the signal S(t) and of the filter W(t) which maximizes the ratio [9]:

$$\sigma = \frac{A^2 |X_{SW}(0,0)|^2}{v \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |W(t)|^2 dt + \sigma_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{\xi}(\tau,f) |X_{SW}(\tau,f)I|^2 d\tau df}$$
(3)

where:

$$X_{SW}(\tau, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W^*(t) S(t-\tau) e^{i2\pi f t} dt$$
(4)

is the Cross ambiguity function of signal (1) and filter (2); $\sigma_{\xi}(\tau, f) = \sigma(\tau, f) + \xi \delta(f)$; $\sigma(\tau, f)$ is the range – velocity distribution of the interfering reflections; $\delta(f)$ is delta-function; A, σ_0 are para-meters which characterizes the reflecting properties of the target and the interfering reflections; v,ξ are the parameters for controlling signal-to-noise ratio loses and range resolving properties correspond-dingly. More over, for the considered below problem of multiple joint waveforms and filters optimization, by a proper parameter selection, the ideal correlation properties (no range side-lobes) for the sum of crosscorrelation functions (complementary property) may be enforced.

2 FILTER OPTIMIZATION

We consider the case when,

$$NT_0 + Mq_f T_0 \le T \quad (if \ q_f = 1, M = N, so \ 2NT_0 \le T)$$
 (5)

In the case (5) expression (4) can be written as follows (see [13], [14], [15], [16])

$$X_{SW}(\tau, f) = \sum_{p=1}^{p} X_{S_{p}W_{p}}(\tau, f) e^{i2\pi f(p-1)T}$$
(6)

Formula (6) simplifies the task of maximizing (3). So we have got expression (3) for the sets of signals and filters at the same form as we have for the single signal and filter and we can use iteration process of joint optimization signal and filter which was described in [12]. The iteration process is follows: at first for the given sets of signals we are getting optimal sets of filters, then for this sets of filters we are getting optimal sets of signals and so on. The convergence of the iteration process was proved in [17].

Ratio (3) for signal (1) and filter (2) with using (6) may be rewrite in the matrix form

$$\sigma = \frac{A^2 \left| W * S \right|^2}{W * \left[vI + \sigma_0 D_{\theta\xi} \right] W}$$
(7)

where *S*, *W* are the vectors of complex amplitude of signals set and filters set; *I* – identity matrix; $D_{\theta\xi}$ is correlation matrix of interfering reflections with range-velocity distribution $\sigma_{\xi}(\tau, f)$.

At the first step of iteration process we choose any initial set of signals vector S^0 and find for it optimum vector sets of filters W^0 according to expression [12]

$$W^{(0)} = \left[vI + \sigma_0 D_{\theta\xi}^{S^{(0)}} \right]^{-1} S^{(0)}$$
(8)

At the next step for the $W^{(0)}$ we find $S^{(1)}$ [12]

$$S^{(1)} = \left[\nu I + \sigma_0 D_{\theta\xi}^{S^{(0)}} \right]^{-1} W^{(0)}$$
(9)

For the given amplitude of the signal at this step we choose only phases of the signal according to algorithm which was described in [12]. In this work only first step of optimization will be considered.

If consider the case M=N and $q_f = 1$ in (7), (8), (9) then dimension of set of signals vector and dimension of set of filters vector are the same and equal *PN*, dimension of matrix is *PN*×*PN*.

3 NUMERICAL RESULTS

We consider the case only filter optimization according to (8). As an example we calculate $W^{(0)}$ from (8) for the case *N*=*M*=3, *P*=2, $\sigma_{\xi}(\tau, f) = \xi \delta(f)$ [14] vector $S^{(0)t} = [1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1]$ and calculated filter

$$W^{(0)t} = [1 - 0.5 - 0.5 1 - 0.5 0.5]$$

 $S_{2}^{(50)} = [111]_{and set of signals} S_{1}^{(0)t} = [1-1-1]_{1-0,5-0,5}$

Cross correlation functions

 $R^{S_1W_1} = [-0,5;0;2;-0,5;-1]$ $R^{S_2W_2} = [0,5;0;1;0,5;1].$

As we can see this pairs of signals and filters are complementary (the sum of cross correlation functions has zero side-lobes). This example is interesting because the classical Golay complementary pair for N=3 doesn't exist, but for mismatched case it does [13, 14].

In this example N=M=3, P=2 signal-to-noise ratio loses [5] $\rho=0.5$. But if we increase memory of filter N=3, M=5 we get $\rho=0.7$ [14]. So signal-to-noise ratio loses are decreased. For this case we have

$$\begin{split} S_1^{(0)t} = & [1-1-1]; \quad S_2^{(0)t} = & [1\ 1\ 1]; \\ W_1^{(0)t} = & [-0.5;1,0;-0.9;-0.4;0,2]; \\ W_2^{(0)t} = & [-0.5;1,0;0,1;0,8;-0,2] \end{split}$$

Cross correlation functions

$$\begin{split} R_k^{S_lW_1} &= [0; 0,2; -0,6; -0,7; 2,3; -0,6; -0,5; 0,5; 0]; \\ R_k^{S_2W_2} &= [0; -0,2; 0,6; 0,7; 1,9; 0,6; 0,5; -0,5; 0]. \end{split}$$

Another example *N*=5, *M*=5, *P*=2 [11]

 $S_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1];$ $S_2 = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1 \ 1]$ $W_1 = [1 \ 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 4];$ $W_2 = [1 \ 4 \ -1 \ 6 \ 4].$

Cross correlation functions

$$\begin{split} & \text{RSW}_1 = [-4;2;3;7;16;0;4;-3;-1]; \\ & \text{RSW}_2 = [4;-2;-3;-7;14;0;-4;3;1]; \quad \rho = 0,64. \end{split}$$

For increased filter memory N=5, M=7 we have [11]

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = [-1,5;3,5;2;2;6;-6,5;-1,5]; \\ & W_2 = [-1,5;3,5;5;1;-6;6,5;1,5]; \ \rho = 0,79. \end{split}$$

So, signal-to-noise ratio loses are also decrease. Consider a few examples else: N=6, M=6, P=2

$$\begin{split} &S_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]; \ S_2 = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]; \\ &W_1 = [-1;7;-1;5;11;-7]; \\ &W_2 = [-1;7;1;-5;-11;7]; \quad \rho = 0,39. \\ &N = 7, \ M = 7, \ P = 2 \\ &S_1 = [1 \ 1-1-1 \ 1-1 \ 1]; \ S_2 = [1 \ 1 \ 1-1-1 \ 1-1]; \\ &W_1 = [1;0,75;1;1;2,5;0,75;0,75]; \\ &W_2 = [1;0,75;1;-1;-1;-0,75;-0,75]; \ \rho = 0,21, \end{split}$$

but for increased memory N=7, M=9, we get $\rho=0,24$.

$$\begin{split} &S_1=[111111]; S_2=[111-1-11-1]; \\ &W_1=[0,11;-0,11;0,11;-0,14;0,14;-0,11;0,14]; \\ &W_2=[0,11;0,11;0,11;-0,14;-0,14;0,11;-0,14]; \\ &\rho=0,33. \\ &N=5, M=5, P=6 \\ &S_1=[111-11]; S_2=[11-111]; \\ &S_4=[11111]; S_5=[1111-1]; S_6=[11111]; \\ &W_1=S_1; \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &W_2=S_2; W_3=S_3; W_4=S_4; W_5=S_5; W_6=-S_6; \quad \rho=0,44\\ &N=5, M=5, P=4\\ &S_1=[111-11]; S_2=[1-111-1]; S_3=[1-1-1-11];\\ &S_4=[1-1-1-1-1]; \quad W_1=S_1; W_2=S_2; W_3=S_3; W_4=S_4.\\ &N=6, M=6, P=4\\ &S_1=[1-111-11]; S_2=[1-1111-1]; S_3=[111-1-1-1];\\ &S_4=[111-111];\\ &W_1=S_1; W_2=S_2; W_3=S_3; W_4=S_4.\\ &N=7, M=7, P=8 \quad [13] \end{split}$$

*S*₁=[111-1-1]; *S*₂,*S*₃,*S*₄,*S*₅,*S*₆,*S*₇- are cyclic shifts of signal *S*₁; *S*₈=[111111];

Wi =Si (i =1,2,...P). N=11, M=11, P=4S₁=[111-111-11-11];S₂=[111-11-1-1-111]; S₃=[111-1111-11];S₄=[111-11-1111-1]; Wi = Si (I = 1,2,...P); $\rho =1$.

Cross correlation functions are represented on fig.1-5.





Figure 1. for S1

Figure 2. for S₂





Figure 3. for S₃

Figure 4 for S4



Figure 5. for the sum of the correlation functions

In last four examples we get the maximum value of *p*=1, which corresponds to matched filters (without signal-to-noise ratio loses).

For considered cases $\sigma_{\xi}(\tau, f) = \xi \delta(f)$ matrix $D_{\theta\xi}$, W * S in (7) is formed by the next way:

$$D_{\theta\xi} = \xi \sum_{k=1-N}^{N-1} \begin{bmatrix} S_{1k} \\ \dots \\ S_{pk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_{1k}^*, \dots, S_{pk}^* \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

were S_{pk} - vector of signal with number p in set, which is shifted on k position:

$$S_{pk} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ S_{0p} \\ S_{2p} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ if } k \ge 0 \quad S_{pk} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{N-3p} \\ S_{N-2p} \\ \vdots \\ S_{N-1p} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ if } k \le 0$$
$$W * S = \begin{bmatrix} W_1^* & \dots & W_{P-2}^* & W_{P-1}^* & W_P^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ \dots \\ S_{P-2} \\ S_{P-1} \\ S_P \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

Considered method of optimization set of filters for given set of waveforms, as a first step of joint waveform-filter sets optimization, suggested in [13], gives the possibility to get the solutions for arbitrary discrete signals and arbitrary number of signals in set. The minimum loses in signal-to-noise ratio are provided for given sets of signals. For existing non trivial solutions only no singularity of matrix (10) should be provided. For example in the case of identical signals in set the matrix (10) is singular and no trivial solutions for set of filters, which provided complementary property, doesn't exist. Increasing memory of filters leads to decreasing of signal-tonoise ratio loses. It is very important to note that getting solutions allow getting new sets of signals and filters without any additional calculations. Really, if we have set of two signals with equal N and set of two corresponding mismatched filters, which are complementary, we may create new complementary sets of two signals and two filters, but with twice lengths by the next way[11]:

$$\begin{split} S_1(2N) = & [S_1(N); S_2(N)]; S_2(2N) = & [S_1(N); -S_2(N)]; \\ & W_1(2N) = & [W_1(N); W_2(N)]; W_2(2N) = & [W_1(N); -W_2(N)]. \end{split}$$

The value of *p* is reserved as for the length N.

We can demonstrate it for example which are considered above for N=3, M=3, P=2

$$\begin{split} &S_1(6) = [1111-1-1]; \ S_2(6) = [111-111]; \\ &W_1(6) = [2-112-1-1]; W_2(6) = [2-11-211]; \ p = 0,5. \end{split}$$

If we have set of two signals with different N $(N_1;N_2)$ and set of two corresponding mismatched filters, which are complementary, we may create new complementary sets of four signals and four filters with lengths N_1+N_2 by the next way[11]:

$$\begin{split} &S_1(N_1+N_2)=[S_1(N_1);S_1(N_2)];\\ &W_1(N_1+N_2)=[W_1(N_1);W_1(N_2)];\\ &S_2(N_1+N_2)=[S_1(N_1);-S_1(N_2)];\\ &W_2(N_1+N_2)=[W_1(N_1);-W_1(N_2)];\\ &S_3(N_1+N_2)=[S_2(N_1);S_2(N_2)];\\ &W_3(N_1+N_2)=[W_2(N_1);W_2(N_2)];\\ &S_4(N_1+N_2)=[S_2(N_1);-S_2(N_2)];\\ &W_4(N_1+N_2)=[W_2(N_1);-W_2(N_2)]. \end{split}$$

We can demonstrate it for examples which were calculated above for $N=M=6=N_1=6$, P=2 and $N=M=3=N_2$, P=2.

$$\begin{split} &S_1(9) = [11111-11-1-1]; \\ &W_1(9) = [-1;7;-1;5;11;-7;1;-0,5;-0,5] \\ &S_2(9) = [11111-1-111]; \\ &W_2(9) = [-1;7;-1;5;11;-7;-1;0,5;0,5]; \\ &S_3(9) = [1111-11111]; \\ &W_3(9) = [-1;7;1;-5;-11;7;1;-0,5;0,5]; \\ &S_4(9) = [1111-11-1-1]; \\ &W_4(9) = [-1;7;1;-5;-11;7;-1;0,5;-0,5]; \\ &\rho = 0,37 \end{split}$$

This suggested approach to construction new complementary sets of filters and signals is an extension of known approach for the mismatched case.

Resembling task is considered in [17] where the filter design technique was worked out for given set of signals and considered only signal-to- noise loses and complementary properties and doesn't considered another type of interference. The task of maximizing signal-to-noise ratio under constraints on fulfilling complementary properties was solved. Number of equations which must be solved for that is equal to *PN+2N-1*. This is much more than we have in our case. Although ours approaches are also maximizing signal-to-noise ratio and besides suppressing another types of interference.

In [18] also is considering the task of maximization signal-to-noise ratio under constraints on complimentary property, but only for two signals in set. Approach is similar to [17].

Beside in [17] a few questions of using some properties of shifting m-sequences for designing the array of Golay's sequences are considered, but without any background of it. In [13] the back grounding of this property on the base of analyzes the fundamental properties of Cross ambiguity function and extension this property on another wide class of signals have been done.

All signals and filters considered may be used for as group-complementary sets of waveforms and filters for the case of antenna with electronically scanning.

In the case of rotating antenna the groupcomplementary properties of sets of waveform and filters (figure a) are destroyed due to amplitude modulation. So the construction waveforms and filters may be realise in other way (figure b), which guarantees zero side-lobes level in nearby peak of correlation function zone independently of rotating antenna effect.



Figure a Diagram of signals for the case of an electronic scanning antenna



Figure b. Diagram of signals for the case of the rotating antenna

We demonstrates it on the example of N=15 on the base of waveforms and filters set for N₁=5, M₁=5, P=2, which we were considered above: S=[1111-100000111-11];W=[1;4;1;6;-4;00000;1;4;-1;-6;4]. Cross correlation functions for these signal and filter (filter tuned on different Doppler frequencies L=0; L=1; L=2) are shown on Fig. 6. On this Fig. we can see zero sidelobes level in the nearby zone of the cross correlation function central peak. $\rho = 0,64$. $L=Fw4NT_0$ (Fw - frequency of filter tuned).



Figure 6. When the Doppler shift of signal l₁=0 for N=15

We also may consider the signal and filter N=77, which are constructed on the base of waveforms and filters set for $N_1=1_1$; $M_1=1_1$; P=4.







The tolerance for Doppler shift of signal should be provided for both cases of antenna scanning (electronically and mechanically rotating) by means of special filter counting [16]. Results of such counting for the Doppler shift of signal h=1 ($l1 = F_{2}4NT_{0}$) are shown for last two examples on Fig.8 and Fig.9 correspondently. Tolerance to Doppler shift of signal can be seen from comparison of the cross sections h=0, L=0 (pictures on Fig.6, Fig.7) and h=1, L=1 (pictures on Fig.8, Fig.9).



L=2

Figure 8 When the Doppler shift of signal l₁=1 for N=15



L=2

Figure 9. When the Doppler shift of signal l₁=1 for N=77

4 CONCLUSION

13121110-9-8-7-6-6-4-3-2-1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 910111213

This paper demonstrated the efficiency of filter synthesis under additional constraints with groupcomplementary properties. It was shown that signalto-noise ratio loses is decrease with increasing memory of optimizing filters in set.

Approaches for the construction of new sets of signals and filters on the base of known sets of signals and filters with complementary properties were suggested for different kind of antenna scanning.

The counting of filters, which provides the tolerance for Doppler shifts of signal are also suggested.

REFERENCES

- Marine radar. Edited by V.I. Vinokurov. (In Russian) L. Sudoctroenie, 1986.
- [2] Radar Handbook. Editor-In-Chief M.I. Skolnik. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
- [3] N. Levanon, É. Mozeson. Radar Signals. Wiley-Interscience, 2004.
- [4] W.D.Rummler. 'Clutter Suppression by Complex Weighting of Coherent Pulse Trains."IEEE Trans. on AES. Vol. AES-2. No.6. pp. 810-818. Nov.1966.

- [5] W.D.Rummler. "A Technique for Improving the Clutter Performance of Coherent Pulse Train Signals."IEEE Trans. on AES. vol. AES-3. No.6. pp. 898-906. Nov. 1967.
- [6] D.F. Delong. Jr. and E.M. Hofstetter. ' On the Design of Optimum Radar Waveforms for Clutter rejection." IEEE Trans. on IT. Vol. IT-13. No.3. pp. 454-463. July 1967.
 [7] L.J. Spafford. "Optimum Radar Signal Processing in
- [7] L.J. Spafford. "Optimum Radar Signal Processing in Clutter." IEEE Trans. on IT. Vol. 114. No.5. pp. 734-743. Sept. 1968.
- [8] C.A. Statt and L.J. Spafford. "A "Best" Mismatched Filter Response for Radar Clutter Discrimination ." IEEE Trans. on IT. vol. IT-14. No. 2. pp. 280-287. Mar. 1968.
- [9] V.T. Dolgochub and M.B. Sverdlik. "Generalized vfilters." Radio Engeneering and Electronic Physics. Vol. 15. pp.147-150. January 1970.
- [10] Y.I. Abramovich and Sverdlik. "Synthesis of a filter which maximizes the signsl-to-noise ratio under additional quadratic constraints.' Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics. vol. 15. pp. 1977-1984. Nov. 1970.
 [11] P. Stoica, J. Li, M. Xue. "Transmit Codes and Receive
- [11] P. Stoica, J. Li, M. Xue. "Transmit Codes and Receive Filters for Pulse Compression Radar Systems." IEEE Signal Processing Mag. vol. 25. no. 6. pp.815-845. Nov. 2008.
- [12] V.M. Koshevyy, M.B Sverdlik .'Synthesis of Signal Filer pair under additional constraints'. Radio Engineering and Electronics. vol 21. no.6. pp. 1227-1234. June 1976.
- [13] V.M. Koshevyy. "Synthesis of Waveform-Filter pairs under Additional Constraints with Group-Complementary Properties" IEEE, Radar Conference 2015, May 2015, Arlington, VA (USA), pp.0616-0621.
- [14] V.M. Koshevyy. "Efficiency of Filter Synthesis under Additional Constaints with Group-Complementary Properties" IEEE, 2016 International Conference "Radioelectronics & InfoCommunications" (UkrMiCo), September 11-16, 2016, Kiev, Ukraine, pp. 978-982
- [15] A.W. Rihachek. Principles of High Resolution Radar. New York: Mc Graw-Hill. 1969 .pp. 153.
- [16] V.M. Koshevyy. Synthesis of multi frequency codes under additional constraints Radio Engineering and Electronics. Vol 29.N11. 1984.
- [17] V.M. Koshevyy , M.B. Sverdlik. Joint ptimization of Signal and Filter in the Problems of Extraction of Signals from Interfering Reflections. Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics. Vol.20. N 10 pp.48-55.
 [18] Glenn Weathers, Edvard M. Holiday. Group-
- [18] Glenn Weathers, Edvard M. Holiday. Group-Complementary Array Coding for Radar Clutter Rejection. IEEE Trans. On Aerospace and Electronic System . Vol . AES-19. N3 May 1983.
 [19] Bi, J. Rohling, H. Complementary Binary Code Design
- [19] Bi, J. Rohling, H. Complementary Binary Code Design based on Mismatched Filter. IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Electronic System, Vol. 48, N2, January 2012.
- and Electronic System, Vol. 48, N2, January 2012.
 [20] V.M. Koshevyy, M.B. Sverdlik. "On one property of an optimum signal" Radio Engeneering and Electronic Physics. 1977, No. 10, Vol. 22, pp.73-75.