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ABSTRACT: Santos Port is located in São Paulo State Coast (Brazil), in an estuarine area inside Santos Bay named Baixada Santista. The currents behavior is forced by tides. The resulting tidal level variability (high tide, mean sea level and low tide) recorded from Santos Dock Company tide gauge (1940 to 2014), the longest series of continuous record of tides in Brazil, shows a consistent increasing trend. The estimation about the magnitude of mean sea level rise (MSLR) in recommendations, guidelines or requirements issued by different countries and agencies from 1990 provide examples of different approaches used around the world in comparison with the local trends obtained for Santos Port. It is concluded that MSLR will have a considerable impact upon the port, with approximately 1.0 m rise estimated from 1990 to 2100. Baixada Santista is a lowland situated a few meters upper from the sea level and some areas are possible to be submerged in the end of this century. Other two locations in São Paulo State Coast, Cananeia and Ubatuba, respectively to the SE and NW of Santos, were also compared with the port tidal data to evaluate the consistency of the trends.

1 INTRODUCTION

According to Nicholls et al. [8], port cities are a vital component of the global economy and are increasingly becoming important concentrations of population and asset value. Thirteen out of the twenty most populated cities in the world in 2005 were port cities.

Sea level rise (SLR) and the increasing occurrence of stronger storm surge events in metropolitan regions of ports cities with more than one million inhabitants in 2005 were analyzed by Nicholls et al. [8] and ranked according to exposed population and assets in 2005 and 2070, including Santos (Brazil).

Santos Port is situated in Santos Estuary, State of São Paulo Coast (Figure 1), is the largest multipurpose port in South America with over than 16 km of quays. Per year, a throughput of 120 million tons of cargo is made [2].

The estimation about the magnitude of SLR in recommendations, guidelines or requirements issued by different countries and agencies [3] provide examples of different approaches used around the world in comparison with the local trends obtained for Santos Port.

São Paulo State Coast has a linear length of 500 km. Hence, two other locations, Cananeia (200 km SW of Santos) and Ubatuba (150 km NE of Santos), see Figure 1, had their SLR compared with Santos, which is considered the Central Littoral of São Paulo State Coast.

The objective of this paper is to check the similarity of Santos SLR with nearby locations of São
Paulo State Coast and classify the SLR according international guidelines known.

2 MATERIAL

2.1 Tides and mean sea level (MSL) variability in Santos Port

Long term sea level observations are useful for many researches as: tidal analyses, tidal modeling, studies of the ocean dynamics and evaluation of greenhouse impacts. Beside these, works on the estimation of the MSL trends and periodicities were developed in the last years in order to estimate the rates of changes of the sea level [4, 7 and 10]. These works, considering different long series of sea level, studied the long-term trends of each location.

2.2 SLR impacts on port operation and the surrounding neighborhood

These problems, discussed in [1, 2 and 10], are basically consequences of the reduction of quays freeboard, flooding due to insufficient effectiveness of the present drainage system and the increasing sedimentation in the nautical areas. The increasing in the salinity intrusion upward the estuary due to higher tidal levels will seriously affect the riparian mangroves and will reduce this fine sediment trap. Without this retention, a larger amount of sediment will be carried to the inner nautical areas of Santos Port, silting and increasing the dredging volumes of maintenance.

Make available information on the impacts of climate change on the maritime port environment has become an international issue for ports to address global warming impacts [10].

The exact coordinates of the three tide gauges of this study, according to [5 and 6] are:

- Santos Port: 23° 57.3’ S; 46° 18.6’ W
- Cananeia: 25° 01.0’ S; 47° 55.7’ W
- Ubatuba: 23° 28.8’ S; 45° 04.9’ W

The tide gauge, which measured water level fluctuations in Santos Port, provided exact four lunar nodal periods (1940 until 2014) of 18.61 years each one. It is the longest series of continuous record of tides in Brazil and shows a consistent increasing trend. This is an important astronomical criterion, because take in account complete cycles of repeatability of the Moon influence on the MSL trend, which estimation make possible to evaluate with reliability if the tidal level shows a MSLR after completed each cycle. For each year was plotted three dots:

- MSL
- HHW (Highest High Water)
- LLW (Lowest Low Water)

The tide gauge of Cananeia belongs to Oceanographic Institute of São Paulo University (IOUSP). Exact two lunar nodal periods (1957 until 1993) were selected [6].

The tide gauge of Ubatuba belonged to Geological and Geographic Institute of São Paulo State (IGC). Exact two lunar nodal periods (1954 until 1991) were selected. This series has many gaps in the recorded tide level, but is the best for the North of São Paulo State Coast. For this reason, it was employed monthly data to increase the statistics evaluation [3].

3 METHODS

The Projected SLR resulting from simulations of different climate scenarios since the work undertaken by the IPCC [5] increases from 1986 – 2005 to 2081 – 2100 in the range from 0.26 to 0.82 m. However, it is evident that a MSLR in the range of 0.40 to 0.63 m, i.e. in the order of 0.50 m in 2081-2100 is expected as an average of all the modeling results reported in IPCC [7].

Figure 1. Map of São Paulo State Coast. Location of the study area, showing Santos Port, Cananeia and Ubatuba.
A likely scenario to use as practical recommendation seems to be that the SLR in year 2100 will be in the range of 0.5 m to 1.0 m, however with a risk of being about 50% higher and that the sea level will continue rising also after year 2100, according to Table 1 [9].

There are many approaches for determining an appropriate MSLR scenario, but it is impossible to predict exactly how the future sea level will develop. Consequently, various authorities have developed different estimations [9] as follows.

As example of local practices, the DEFRA – Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs of the UK Government [4] in anticipation of increased future SLR, recommend that new engineering projects with a 100-year design life are required to include up to 1 m of SLR from 1990, recognizing that the rate of rise is expected to be larger at the end of this century than at the beginning of the century (Table 2). Other local practices mentioned by PIANC [3] are the projections of the Delta Commission in The Netherlands (projecting up to 1.4 m MSLR from 1990 to 2100 and for USA: California, Oregon and Washington States (Table 3) from 2000.

Considering the period from 1990 until 2014 as an adjustment period for the calibration of the MSLR rate obtained from the tide gauge of Santos Port, this was compared with the rates of UK [4] (moderate rate), States of California, Washington and Oregon [3], The Netherlands Delta Project (equivalent to Rahmstorf [11], higher rate), PIANC [9] higher and lower rate, IPCC [7] higher and lower rate and Rahmstorf [11] lower rate. From these rates, was adopted the best fit to forecast MSLR rate for Santos Port till 2100.

Table 1. Example of scenario for sea level rise (SLR) as function of type of infrastructure impacted by the design event according to PIANC [3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of infrastructure</th>
<th>Severity of Typical SLR (m) in year failure</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2050</th>
<th>2100</th>
<th>Later than 2100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmland and recreational facilities</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>0.1-</td>
<td>0.2-</td>
<td>0.5-</td>
<td>Up to 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitation and infrastructure</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>0.15-</td>
<td>0.3-</td>
<td>1.0-</td>
<td>Up to 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major habitation, infrastructure and public utilities</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>0.2-</td>
<td>0.4-</td>
<td>1.1-</td>
<td>Up to 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>or higher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. UK recommended net SLR rates and cumulative amounts, relative to 1990 [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Low rate (mm/yr)</th>
<th>Moderate rate (mm/yr)</th>
<th>High rate (mm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cumulative SLR since 1990 (m) at end of period</td>
<td>cumulative SLR since 1990 (m) at end of period</td>
<td>cumulative SLR since 1990 (m) at end of period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2025</td>
<td>2.5/0.09</td>
<td>3.5/0.12</td>
<td>4.0/0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025-2055</td>
<td>7.0/0.30</td>
<td>8.0/0.36</td>
<td>8.5/0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2055-2085</td>
<td>10.0/0.60</td>
<td>11.5/0.71</td>
<td>12.0/0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2085-2115</td>
<td>13.0/0.99</td>
<td>14.5/1.14</td>
<td>15/1.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. SLR projections relative to year 2000 for Seattle, Newport, San Francisco and Los Angeles [3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>2030 Projection (cm)</th>
<th>2050 Projection (cm)</th>
<th>2100 Projection (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>6.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>16.6 ± 10.5</td>
<td>61.8 ± 29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport</td>
<td>6.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>17.2 ± 10.3</td>
<td>63.3 ± 28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>14.4 ± 5.0</td>
<td>28.0 ± 9.2</td>
<td>91.9 ± 25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>14.7 ± 5.0</td>
<td>28.4 ± 9.0</td>
<td>93.1 ± 24.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the MSLR results from Cananeia and Ubatuba were compared with Santos.

In addition to the MSLR, in each locality the maximum high-tide and the lowest low-tide were plotted considering a linear fit. Obviously, this linear adjustment is not the best (R² low), but it gives a tendential gradient of MSLR.

4 RESULTS

In the graph of Figure 2 is presented Santos Port annual tidal level variability from 1940 to 2014 and the linear trends of MSL, HHW and LLW. The vertical level employed CDS (Santos Dock Company) datum.

In the graph of Figure 3 is presented the mobile average of 19 years (approximately the lunar nodal period), showing a consistent increasing of the MSL. From 1940 to 2014, the linear gradient of the MSLR was 0.33 cm/year with a coefficient of determination R² = 0.4673, relatively high for this kind of phenomena.

As it is possible to see in Figure 4, the best fit of the calibration for the linear MSLR trend of 0.33 cm/year was obtained with UK MSLR moderate rate (0.35 cm/year from 1990 to 2014). Hence, the forecasting linear trends for Santos Port were plotted following Table 2 moderate rate from 2014 to 2100.

The resulting MSLR from 1940 to 2100 shows a consistent increasing trend, indeed, compare the following forecasts for 2100 with reference to 1940:
- 156.5 cm: The Netherlands (Rahmstorf, [1], higher rate).
- 134.8 cm: PIANC [9] lower rate.
- 112.3 cm: California State.
- 108.9 cm: linear trend of the record of the tide gauge of Santos Port from 1940 to 2014 and adjusted from 2014 with UK [4] moderate rate.
- 82.4 cm: Oregon and Washington States.
- 47.0 cm: IPCC [7] lower rate.
- 108.9 cm: Average value of the mentioned nine

MSLR estimations from 1940 to 2100. It is exactly equal for Santos Port MSLR rate proposed in this paper.

MSLR for the other locations resulted in:
- Cananeia: 0.38 cm/year with R² = 0.5795 (Figure 5). The vertical level employed IOUSP datum.
- Ubatuba: 0.23 cm/year with R² = 0.1412 (Figure 6). The vertical level employed IGC datum.
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The average MSLR between the two locations is 0.31 cm/year.

5 DISCUSSION

MSLR trend of Santos Port tide gauge from 1990 to 2014 was compared with the recommended rates of UK [11] (moderate rate), States of California, Washington and Oregon [9], The Netherlands Delta Project (equivalent to [11] higher rate), [9] higher and lower rate, [7] higher and lower rate and [11] lower rate. The best adjustment occurred with UK recommended rate [4] (moderate rate), but also California State and [9] higher rates are quite similar. The average MSLR from 1940 to 2100 from all the mentioned methods is identical of Santos Port projection to 2100 using UK [4] (moderate rate). This is an important result, and also showing consistency with the 0.31 cm/year, average MSLR of the other two locations of São Paulo State Coast, very close to 0.33 cm/year, estimated for Santos Port.

The linear trends rates for HHW and LLW are rather more disperse, but all of them pointing for a tide level rise. This pattern of dispersion was expected, because of the randomic effect of meteorological tides.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The assessment of MSLR in Santos Port shows a reliable consistence in comparison with several international recommendations and also with similar trends in other two locations of São Paulo State Coast, giving confidence to its use for estimative impacts due to the maritime consequences of climate changes. The estimative of MSLR from 1940 to 2100 is 1.1 m, following from 1940 to 2014 a rate of 0.33 cm/year, which should increase in the next decades in a similar trend of UK recommendations for a moderate scenario.

Figure 2. Graph of Santos Port annual tidal level variability from 1940 to 2014. Linear trends of MSL (Mean Sea Level), HHW (Highest High Water) and LLW (Lowest Low Water).

Figure 3. Graph of tide mobile average of 19 years for MSL, HHW and LLW in Santos Port. It is possible to observe a consistent SLR of the levels from 1990.
Figure 4. Graph of Santos Port MSL linear trend from 1940 to 2014, with the period of adjustment (1990 to 2014) for the selection of the best fit among some of the most known proposed international recommendations. Projection from 1990 to 2100 for Santos Port MSL trend adjusted and comparisons with the international guidelines.

Figure 5. Graph of Cananeia annual tidal level variability from 1957 to 1993. Linear trends of MSL (Mean Sea Level), HHW (Highest High Water) and LLW (Lowest Low Water).

Figure 6. Graph of Ubatuba monthly tidal level variability from 1954 to 1991. Linear trends of MSL (Mean Sea Level), HHW (Highest High Water) and LLW (Lowest Low Water).
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